arXiv:1405.2426v3 [math.RA] 22 Jul 2014

REGULAR DERIVATIONS OF TRUNCATED POLYNOMIAL RINGS

ALEXANDER PREMET

Abstract. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic $p > 2$ and let $\mathcal{O}_n = \Bbbk[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$, a local $\Bbbk$-algebra of dimension p^n over $\Bbbk$. If $p = 3$ we assume that $n > 1$ and impose no restrictions on n for $p > 3$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie algebra of all derivations of $\mathcal{O}_n$, a restricted simple Lie algebra of Cartan type W_n, and denote by G be the automorphism group of $\mathcal{L}$. By [10], the invariant ring $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G$ is freely generated by n homogeneous polynomial functions $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$ and a version of Chevalley's Restriction Theorem holds for $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, the majority of classical results of Kostant on the adjoint action of a complex reductive group on its Lie algebra hold for the action of G on $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, each fibre of the map $\psi\colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ sending any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ to $(\psi_0(x), \dots, \psi_{n-1}(x)) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ is an irreducible complete intersection in $\mathcal{L}$ and contains an open G-orbit. However, it is also proved in [10] that the zero fibre of ψ is not a normal variety. In this paper, we complete the picture by showing that Kostant's differential criterion for regularity holds in $\mathcal{L}$ and we prove that a fibre of ψ is normal if and only if it consists of regular semisimple elements of $\mathcal{L}$.

To my friend Helmut Strade with admiration

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be the truncated polynomial ring $\Bbbk[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$ over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic $p > 2$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. If $(p, n) = (3, 1)$ then $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\Bbbk)$. We therefore exclude this case and assume that $(p, n) \neq (3, 1)$. Let G denote the automorphism group of $\mathcal{O}_n$. It is well known that G is a connected algebraic $\Bbbk$-group of dimension $n(p^n - 1)$ and $G/R_u(G) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\Bbbk)$. Furthermore, under our assumptions on (p, n) any automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is induced by a unique automorphism of the local $\Bbbk$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ so that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \cong G$ as algebraic $\Bbbk$-groups. We denote by x_i the image of X_i in $\mathcal{O}_n$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{L}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_n$-module of rank n with basis consisting of partial derivatives $\partial_i = \frac{\partial_i}{\partial x_i}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$

1.2. Being a full derivation algebra, $\mathcal{L}$ carries a natural pth power map $x \mapsto x^p$ equivariant under the action of G. One knows that all Cartan subalgebras of the restricted Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ are toral and have dimension n. There are precisely $n + 1$ conjugacy classes of such subalgebras under the action of G. As a canonical representative of the kth conjugacy class one usually takes the torus

$$\mathfrak{t}_k := \Bbbk(x_1\partial_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \Bbbk(x_k\partial_k) \oplus (1 + x_{k+1})\partial_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \Bbbk(1 + x_n)\partial_n, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000 *revision*). Primary 17B50. Secondary 13A50.

Since $\mathcal{L}$ contains an n-dimensional, self-centralising torus, some general results proved in [8] show that there exist algebraically independent, homogeneous polynomial functions $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G$ with $\deg \psi_i = p^n - p^i$ such that

$$x^{p^n} + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_i(x) x^{p^i} = 0 \qquad (\forall\, x \in \mathcal{L});$$

see Subsection 2.2 for detail. The G-saturation of $\mathfrak{t}_0$ is known to contain a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{L}$. So the restriction map $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}] \to \Bbbk[\mathfrak{t}_0]$ induces an injection $j\colon \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G \to \Bbbk[\mathfrak{t}_0]^{N_G(\mathfrak{t}_0)}$ of invariant rings. By [10], the group $N_G(\mathfrak{t}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and acts on $\mathfrak{t}_0$ faithfully. In conjunction with classical results of Dickson [2] this implies that j is surjective and hence $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G \cong \Bbbk[\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}]$ as $\Bbbk$-algebras.

1.3. Let $\psi\colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ denote the map sending any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ to $\big(\psi_0(x), \dots, \psi_{n-1}(x)\big) \in \mathbb{A}^n$. By [10], the morphism ψ is flat, surjective, and for any $\eta = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ the fibre $P_\eta := \psi^{-1}(\eta)$ is an irreducible complete intersection in $\mathcal{L}$ whose defining ideal in $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ is generated by $\psi_0 - \eta_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} - \eta_{n-1}$. Each P_η contains a unique open G-orbit, denoted P_η°, which consists of all elements of P_η whose stabiliser in G is trivial. Furthermore, there exists a homogeneous G-semiinvariant $\Delta \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ of degree $p^n - 1$ with the property that $P_\eta^\circ = \{x \in P_\eta \mid \Delta(x) \neq 0\}$.

The above discussion shows that almost all results of Kostant [4] on the adjoint action of a complex reductive group on its Lie algebra hold for the action of G on $\mathcal{L}$. There is one notable exception though. Since it is proved in [10] that $P_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus P_{\mathbf{0}}^\circ$ coincides with the singular locus of $P_{\mathbf{0}}$, the special fibre $P_{\mathbf{0}}$ of ψ is *not* a normal variety. Similar to the classical case that fibre coincides with $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}) = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid x^{p^n} = 0\}$, the nilpotent cone of the restricted Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$.

One of the main goals of this paper is to complete the picture by showing that a fibre P_η is a normal variety if and only if it is smooth and we demonstrate that the latter happens if and only if $\psi_0(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in P_\eta$ This is established in Subsection 4.2 with the help of some results obtained by Skryabin in [14]. Our arguments in Section 4 also rely on the description of regular elements of $\mathcal{L}$ obtained in Section 3.

1.4. An element x of a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *regular* if the centraliser $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ has the smallest possible dimension. The set $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ of all regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ is Zariski open in $\mathfrak{g}$. In the classical situation of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, Kostant discovered a criterion for regularity of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ based on the behaviour of the differentials $\mathrm{d}f_1, \dots \mathrm{d}f_\ell$ at x of a system of basic invariants $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{g}}$; see [4]. Various versions of Kostant's differential criterion for regularity have been recorded in the literature and it is clear that the criterion represents a repeating pattern in the invariant theory of group schemes; see [13, 6], for example.

In Section 3, we prove that $D \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if the differentials $\mathrm{d}\psi_0, \dots \mathrm{d}\psi_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at D and show that this happens if and only if the kernel of D in $\mathcal{O}_n$ is spanned by the identity element; see Theorems 1 and 2(ii). This result is then used to give a comprehensive description of all regular conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{L}$; see Theorem 2(iii).

1.5. Finally, in Section 4 we show that there exists an irreducible G-semiinvariant $\Delta_0 \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ such that $\Delta = (-1)^n \Delta_0^{p-1}$ and we identify the restriction of Δ_0 to $\mathfrak{t}_0$ with the classical Dickson semiinvariant for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As a consequence, we obtain rather

explicit formulae for the basic invariants $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G$ in the spirit of [2]; see Subsection 4.1.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Hao Chang whose questions initiated this research. I am also thankful to Jörg Feldvoss for his interest and encouragement. Special thanks go to Serge Skryabin for pointing out a serious error in the first version of this paper.

2. Generalities and recollections

2.1. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$ and write $\mathcal{O}_n$ for the truncated polynomial ring $\Bbbk[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)$ in n variables. Let x_i denote the image of X_i in $\mathcal{O}_n$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ stand for the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ (this ideal is generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$). For every $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ there exists a unique element $f(0) \in \Bbbk$ such that $f - f(0) \in \mathfrak{m}$ and it is easy to see that $f^p = f(0)^p$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Given an n-tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ we write $\mathrm{Jac}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ for the determinant the Jacobian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with entries in $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Let G be the automorphism group of the $\Bbbk$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$. Each $\sigma \in G$ is uniquely determined by its effect on the generators x_i of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and since $x_i^p = 0$ it must be that $\sigma(x_i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all i. An assignment $\sigma(x_i) = f_i$ with $f_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ if and only in $\mathrm{Jac}(f_1, \dots, f_n) \notin \mathfrak{m}$. This shows that G is a connected algebraic $\Bbbk$-group whose unipotent radical $R_u(G)$ consists of those $\sigma \in G$ for which $\sigma(x_i) - x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all i. Furthermore, $G/R_u(G) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\Bbbk)$ and there is a reductive subgroup G_0 isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\Bbbk)$ such that $G \cong G_0 \ltimes R_u(G)$ as algebraic $\Bbbk$-groups. More precisely, G_0 consists of all automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ induced by the *linear* substitutions of the x_i's.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, the Lie algebra of all derivations of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Any $D \in \mathcal{L}$ is uniquely determined by its effect on the generators $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Conversely, for every n-tuple $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in (\mathcal{O}_n)^n$ there exists a unique $D \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $D(x_i) = f_i$ for all i. We denote by ∂_i the derivation of $\mathcal{O}_n$ with the property that $\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. The above discussion implies that $\mathcal{L}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_n$-module with basis consisting of $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$.

Recall that $p > 3$ and $(p, n) \neq (3, 1)$. In this situation, Jacobson proved in [3] that any automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ is induced by a unique automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_n$ (this is stated under the assumption that $p > 3$ in *loc. cit.*, but after a slight modification Jacobson's arguments go through in our present case). So from now on we shall identify G with the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ by using the rule

$$\sigma(D) = \sigma \circ D \circ \sigma^{-1} \qquad (\forall\, \sigma \in G,\, D \in \mathcal{L}).$$

There is a unique cocharacter $\lambda\colon \Bbbk^\times \to G$ such that $(\lambda(t))(x_i) = tx_i$ for all $t \in \Bbbk^\times$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\sigma(fD) = \sigma(f)\sigma(D)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $D \in \mathcal{L}$ and since $(\lambda(t))(\partial_i) = t^{-1}\partial_i$ for all i, the action of $\lambda(\Bbbk^\times)$ gives $\mathcal{L}$ a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{n(p-1)-1}, \qquad [\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{i+j},$$

such that $\mathcal{L}_{-1} = \Bbbk\partial_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Bbbk\partial_n$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 \cong \mathfrak{gl}_n(\Bbbk)$. The subalgebra

$$\mathcal{L}_{(0)} := \textstyle\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_i = \mathfrak{m}\partial_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}\partial_n$$

is often referred to as the *standard maximal subalgebra* of $\mathcal{L}$. By a result of Kreknin, it can be characterised as the unique proper subalgebra of smallest codimension in $\mathcal{L}$. It is immediate from the above-mentioned description of G that $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = L_{(0)}$.

For any $k \geq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ we set $\mathcal{L}_{(k)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} \mathcal{L}_i$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_i = \mathfrak{m}^2 \partial_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \partial_n$$

is the nilradical of $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}/L_{(1)} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_n(\Bbbk)$.

2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional restricted Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$ with p-mapping $\pi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, $x \to x^{[p]}$. By Jacobson's formula, π is a morphism of algebraic varieties induced by a collection of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree p on $\mathfrak{g}$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we write π^k for the k-th iteration of π, so that $\pi^k(x) = x^{[p]^k}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. An element x of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *nilpotent* if $\pi^N(x) = 0$ for $N \gg 0$. The set $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ of all nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Zariski closed, conical subset of $\mathfrak{g}$. Given and element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we set $\langle x, [p] \rangle := \sum_{i \geq 0} \Bbbk \pi^i(x)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. This is an abelian restricted subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We say that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *semisimple* if $x \in \langle x^{[p]}, [p] \rangle = \sum_{i \geq 1} \Bbbk \pi^i(x)$. It is well known (and easy to see) that for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exist a unique semisimple element x_s and a unique nilpotent element x_n in $\langle x, [p] \rangle$ such that $x = x_s + x_n$. For a restricted subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{h}_s$ the set of all semisimple elements of $\mathfrak{h}$. As $\mathfrak{h}_s = \pi^N(\mathfrak{h})$, where $N \gg 0$, the set $\mathfrak{h}_s$ contains a nonempty open subset of its Zariski closure in $\mathfrak{h}$.

Given $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we let $\mathfrak{g}_x^0$ denote the set of all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which $(\operatorname{ad} x)^N(y) = 0$, where $N \gg 0$, and we set $\mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g}) := \min_{x \in \mathfrak{g}} \dim \mathfrak{g}_x^0$. It is well known that $\mathfrak{g}_x^0$ is a restricted subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing the centraliser $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$. Furthermore, if $\dim \mathfrak{g}_x^0 = \mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$ then $\mathfrak{g}_x^0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of minimal dimension in $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_x^0$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra. We say that an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *regular* if its centraliser $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ has the smallest possible dimension. It follows from basic linear algebra that the set $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ of all regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ is Zariski open in $\mathfrak{g}$.

A Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *toral* (or a *torus*) if all elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ are semisimple, and an element $t \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called *toral* if $t^{[p]} = t$. As $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, any toral subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. Furthermore, the set $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ of all toral elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-subspace of $\mathfrak{t}$ containing a $\Bbbk$-basis of $\mathfrak{t}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Card}(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}}) = p^l$ where $l = \dim \mathfrak{t}$. We denote by $MT(\mathfrak{g})$ the maximal dimension of toral subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and write $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the set of all nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, $s = MT(\mathfrak{g})$, and let $e = e(\mathfrak{g})$ be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $\pi^e(V) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_s$ for some nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$. By [8, Theorem 2], there exist nonzero homogeneous polynomial functions $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{s-1}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\deg \psi_i = p^{s+e} - p^{i+e}$ and

$$\pi^{s+e}(x) + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \psi_i(x) \pi^{i+e}(x) = 0 \qquad (\forall\, x \in \mathfrak{g}). \tag{1}$$

Moreover, it is immediate from [8, Lemma 4(2)] that the ψ_i's are invariant under the action of the automorphism group of the restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the coordinate ring $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{g}]$. By [11, Theorem 4.2], we also have that $\psi_i \circ \pi = \psi_i^p$ for all i. This implies that the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ coincides with the zero locus of the ideal of $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{g}]$ generated by $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{s-1}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ intersects trivially with any s-dimensional torus of $\mathfrak{g}$, all irreducible components of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ have dimension $n - s$. This implies that the polynomial functions $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{s-1}$ form a regular sequence in $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{g}]$. In particular, they are algebraically independent in $\Bbbk(\mathfrak{g})$.

Since our base field has positive characteristic, it may happen that $\mathfrak{g}$ contains maximal tori of different dimensions. However, it is still true that if $\mathfrak{t}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathfrak{g}$ then its centraliser $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{t})$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Conversely, any Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{t})$ where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h}_s$ is the unique maximal torus of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $\mathfrak{h}$. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *regular* if $\dim \mathfrak{h}_s = MT(\mathfrak{g})$. By the main results of [7], a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is regular if and only if the variety $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ has the smallest possible dimension. Furthermore, the equalities

$$\dim \mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathfrak{h} - MT(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \overline{\pi_e(\mathfrak{g})}$$

hold for any regular Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a self-centralising torus if and only if $e(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, that is $\mathfrak{g}_s$ contains a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{g}$. The above also shows that any self-centralising torus $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (if it exists) is a regular Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{t} = MT(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$.

3. Characterising regular derivations of $\mathcal{O}_n$

3.1. Given a torus $\mathfrak{t}$ in a finite dimensional restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ the set of all linear functions $\alpha\colon\ \mathfrak{t} \to \Bbbk$ such that $\alpha(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all toral elements $t \in \mathfrak{t}$. Our discussion in Subsection 2.2 shows that $(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-form of the dual space $\mathfrak{t}^*$. In particular, $\mathrm{Card}((\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*) = p^l$ where $l = \dim \mathfrak{t}$. Any finite dimensional restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-module V decomposes as $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V^\lambda$ where

$$V^\lambda = \{v \in V \mid t.v = \lambda(t)v \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

We say that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is a *weight* of V with respect to $\mathfrak{t}$ (or a $\mathfrak{t}$-*weight*) if $V^\lambda \neq \{0\}$ and we write $\Lambda(V)$ for the set of all $\mathfrak{t}$-weights of V. It is immediate from the definitions that $\Lambda(V) \subseteq (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$.

For any $D \in \mathcal{L}$ the endomorphism $D^p \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ carries a natural restricted Lie algebra structure. Since $\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{L}) = \{0\}$, this structure is unique. In particular, it is equivariant under the action of G on $\mathcal{L}$. We mention that $\mathcal{O}_n$ is tautologically a restricted $\mathcal{L}$-module and so the notation $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n)$ makes sense for any toral subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be an r-dimensional torus in $\mathcal{L}$ and let $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n)$ be the set of all $\mathfrak{t}$-weights of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Then $\Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n) = (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ and $\dim \mathcal{O}_n^\lambda = p^{n-r}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{(0)} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathcal{L}_{(0)}$ and let $t_1, \dots, t_s$ be toral elements of $\mathfrak{t}$ whose images in $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{t}_{(0)}$ form a basis for that vector space. We first suppose that $s \geq 1$. Replacing $\mathfrak{t}$ by $\sigma(\mathfrak{t})$ for a suitable $\sigma \in G$ (if required) we may assume that $t_i = \{(1 + x_i)\partial_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{(0)}$ is an $(r-s)$-dimensional subtorus of $\mathfrak{t}_{n,s} := \bigoplus_{i=s+1}^n \Bbbk x_i \partial_i$; see [16, Theorem 7.5.1]. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{0,s} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Bbbk(1 + x_i)\partial_i$, an s-dimensional torus of $\mathcal{L}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{n,s}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{O}_{n,s} \cong \mathcal{O}_{n-s}$ as $\Bbbk$-algebras and $\mathcal{O}_n$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{n,s}$-module with basis

$$\mathfrak{X} := \{(1 + x_1)^{a_1} \cdots (1 + x_s)^{a_s} \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1\}.$$

The set $\mathfrak{X}$ consists of weight vectors for $\mathfrak{t}_{0,s}$ corresponding to pairwise distinct weights and $t(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_{n,s}$. Since $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{0,s} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{(0)}$, this shows that in proving the lemma we may assume without loss of generality that $s = 0$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{(0)}$. In this situation [16, Theorem 7.5.1] essentially says that $\mathfrak{t}$ may be assumed to be an r-dimensional subtorus of $\mathfrak{t}_n$. The normaliser N of

the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in G is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and permutes the set $\{x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_n\partial_n\}$ which forms a basis of the vector space $(\mathfrak{t}_n)^{\mathrm{tor}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Keeping this in mind one observes that there is $\sigma \in N$ for which $\sigma(\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}}) = \mathbb{F}_p t_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p t_r$ where

$$t_i = x_i\partial_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} c_{i,j}(x_j\partial_j) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

for some $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let A_n denote the set of all n-tuples $\mathbf{a} = (a_1 \dots, a_n)$ such that $0 \leq a_i \leq p-1$ and set $x^{\mathbf{a}} := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. The set $\{x^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in A_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{O}_n$ consisting of weight vectors for $\mathfrak{t}_n$ and we have that

$$t_i(x^{\mathbf{a}}) = \big(a_i + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j}a_j\big)x^{\mathbf{a}} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

(in order to ease notation we identify the a_i's with their images in $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$). In the spirit of a first year linear algebra course we solve the system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + \cdots + c_{1,r+1}a_{r+1} + \cdots + c_{1,n}a_n &= m_1 \\ \ddots \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots &\quad \vdots \\ a_r + c_{r,r+1}a_{r+1} + \cdots + c_{r,n}a_n &= m_r \end{aligned}$$

for any r-tuple $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^r$ by declaring $a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n$ to be our "free variables". We then deduce that the number of solutions with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$ equals p^{n-r}. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be an r-dimensional torus in $\mathcal{L}$ and let $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of all $(\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{t})$-weights of $\mathcal{L}$. Then $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ and $\dim \mathcal{L}^\lambda = np^{n-r}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n)$.*

Proof. Thanks to [16, Theorem 7.5.1] it can be assumed without loss that $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ are weight vectors for $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\mu_i \in \Lambda(\mathcal{L})$ be the weight of ∂_i, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and take any $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$. Since

$$[D, fD'] = D(f)D' + f[D, D'] \qquad (\forall\, D, D' \in \mathcal{L},\ f \in \mathcal{O}_n).$$

we have that $\mathcal{L}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_n^{\lambda-\mu_i}\partial_i$. Since $\lambda - \mu_i \in (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\dim \mathcal{O}^{\lambda-\mu_i} = p^{n-r}$ for all i. As a result, $\dim \mathcal{L}^\lambda = np^{n-r}$ for all $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{t}^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ as stated. □

3.2. According to [10, Theorem 1], the characteristic polynomial of any derivation $x \in \mathcal{L}$ has the form

$$\det(tI_{\mathcal{O}_n} - x) = t^{p^n} + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\psi_i(x)t^{p^i},$$

and the homogeneous polynomial functions $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$ generate freely the invariant algebra $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G$. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, we have that

$$x^{[p^n]} + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_i(x)x^{[p^i]} = 0 \qquad (\forall\, x \in \mathcal{L}). \tag{2}$$

Due to Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 this shows that $x \in \mathcal{L}$ is regular semisimple if and only if $\psi_0(x) \neq 0$. From this it follows that $e(\mathcal{L}) = 0$. Our discussion in Subsection 2.2 now yields that $\psi_i(x^{[p^k]}) = \psi_i(x)^{p^k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As $x^{[p^k]} = x_s^{[p^k]}$ for all $k \gg 0$, this entails that $\psi_i(x) = \psi_i(x_s)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$.

Given an arbitrary element $D \in \mathcal{L}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{t}_D$ the torus of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the semisimple part D_s of D.

Lemma 2. *Let $D \in \mathcal{L}\setminus\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$ and define $r = r(D) := \min\{0 \le i \le n-1 \mid \psi_i(D) \neq 0\}$. Then the following hold:*

(i) $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$ *and* $D_n^{p^r} = 0$.

(ii) *The linear map* $Q(D) := (\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^n - p^r} + \sum_{i=r}^{n-1} \psi_i(D)(\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^i - p^r} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{L})$ *acts invertibly on* $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ *and annihilates each* $\mathfrak{t}_D$*-weight space* $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$ *with* $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. By (2), we have that $D^{p^n} + \sum_{i=r}^{n-1} \psi_i(D) D^{p^i} = 0$. Since $\psi_r(D) \neq 0$ this shows that D^{p^r} lies in the restricted subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by D^{p^i} with $i > r$. Therefore, D^{p^r} is a semisimple element of $\mathcal{L}$. As $D^{p^r} = D_s^{p^r} + D_n^{p^r}$ and $D_n^{p^r} \in \mathcal{L}$ is nilpotent, it must be that $D_n^{p^r} = 0$. As $\psi_i(D) = \psi_i(D_s)$ by our earlier remarks, we have that

$$D_s^{p^n} + \textstyle\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} \psi_i(D) D_s^{p^i} = 0.$$

As $\psi_r(D) \neq 0$, this shows that the kernel of D_s has dimension p^r. But $\operatorname{Ker} D_s$ is nothing but the zero weight space of $\mathfrak{t}_D$. So Lemma 1 yields $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$ and statement (i) follows.

Since $\mathfrak{t}_D$ is generated by D_s as a restricted Lie algebra, $\operatorname{ad} D_s$ must act invertibly on any weight space $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Since $(\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^r} = (\operatorname{ad} D_s)^{p^r}$ by part (i), it is immediate from (2) that $Q(D)$ must annihilate all such $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$. Finally, $Q(D)$ acts invertibly on $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ because $\psi_r(D) \neq 0$ and the restriction of $\operatorname{ad} D$ to $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ coincides with that of $\operatorname{ad} D_n$. □

3.3. We are now ready to prove a differential criterion for regularity of elements in $\mathcal{L}$. It is a precise analogue of Kostant's classical result [4, Theorem 0.1]. Recall that the morphism $\psi\colon\ \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ sends any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ to $\big(\psi_0(x), \dots, \psi_{n-1}(x)\big) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ and we denote by P_η the inverse image of $\eta \in \mathbb{A}^n$ under ψ.

Theorem 1. *Let $D \in \mathcal{L}$ and suppose that the torus $\mathfrak{t}_D$ generated by D_s has dimension $n - r$ where $0 \le r \le n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *D is a regular element of $\mathcal{L}$.*

(ii) *The differentials $\mathrm{d}\psi_0, \dots, \mathrm{d}\psi_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at D.*

(iii) *D is a smooth point of the fibre $P_{\psi(D)}$.*

Proof. Thanks to Jacobson's formula for pth powers, replacing x by $D + ty$ in equation (2) and computing the coefficient of t we obtain that

$$(3)\quad (\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^n - 1}(y) + \textstyle\sum_{i=r}^{n-1} \psi_i(D)(\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^i - 1}(y) = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathrm{d}\psi_i)_D(y) \cdot D^{p^i} \qquad (\forall\, y \in \mathcal{L}).$$

Using the notation introduced in Subsection 3.2 we can rewrite (3) as follows:

$$(4)\qquad \big((\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^r - 1} \circ Q(D)\big)(y) = -\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathrm{d}\psi_i)_D(y) \cdot D^{p^i} \qquad (\forall\, y \in \mathcal{L}).$$

In conjunction with Lemma 2(ii) this shows that $(\operatorname{ad} D)^{p^r - 1}$ maps $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ into the linear span of $D, \dots, D^{p^{n-1}}$. We stress that if $y \in \mathcal{L}^\lambda$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ then both sides of (3) must vanish as the LHS lies in $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$ whilst the RHS lies in $\mathcal{L}^0$.

Suppose $D \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{L}_D^0 = np^r$ by Corollary 1, $D_n^{p^r} = 0$ by Lemma 2(i), and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_D^0$ has dimension n, all Jordan blocks of the restriction $\operatorname{ad} D$ to $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ must have size p^r. In view of (4), this implies that $D, \dots, D^{p^{n-1}}$ are linearly independent in

$\mathcal{L}$. Thanks to (3) this yields that all linear functions $(\mathrm{d}\psi_i)_D$ vanish on the subspace $[D,\mathcal{L}]$ and the map $\delta\colon\ \mathcal{L}/[D,\mathcal{L}] \to \operatorname{span}\{D,\dots,D^{p^{n-1}}\}$ given by

$$\delta\big(y+[D,\mathcal{L}]\big) = -\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathrm{d}\psi_i)_D(y)\cdot D^{p^i} \qquad (\forall\, y\in\mathcal{L})$$

is a linear isomorphism. From this it is immediate that the differentials $\mathrm{d}\psi_0,\dots,\mathrm{d}\psi_{n-1}$ are linearly independent at D.

Now suppose that (ii) holds for D. If $r=n$ then D is nilpotent. In this case [10, Theorem 2] shows that $D\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. So let us assume from now that $r\le n-1$. Then, of course, $D\neq 0$. On the other hand, our present assumption on D implies that the linear map $X\mapsto \big((\mathrm{d}\psi_0)(X),\dots,(\mathrm{d}\psi_{n-1})(X)\big)$ from $\mathcal{L}$ to $\Bbbk^n$ is surjective. Combining (4) with Lemma 2(ii) yields that there exists $y\in\mathcal{L}_D^0$ such that

$$D=(\operatorname{ad}D)^{p^r-1}(y)=(\operatorname{ad}D_n)^{p^r-1}(y).$$

As a consequence, $S_1:=\{D_n^{p^i}\mid 0\le i\le r-1\}$ is a linearly independent set. On the other hand, $S_2:=\{D^{p^i}\mid r\le i\le n-1\}$ consists of semisimple elements of $\mathcal{L}$ and is linearly independent because $\dim\mathfrak{t}_D=n-r$. As $S_1\cup S_2\subseteq\operatorname{span}\{D^{p^i}\mid 0\le i\le n-1\}$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_1)\cap\operatorname{span}(S_2)=\{0\}$, we now deduce that the set $\{D^{p^i}\mid 0\le i\le n-1\}$ is linearly independent. In view of (4) this enables us to conclude that all Jordan blocks of the restriction of $\operatorname{ad}D$ to $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ have size p^r. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\operatorname{ad}D\subseteq\mathcal{L}_D^0$, applying Corollary 1 yields $D\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

We have proved that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. According to [10, Lemma 13], the fibre $P_{\psi(D)}$ is irreducible and its defining ideal in $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ is generated by the polynomial functions $\psi_0-\psi_0(D),\dots,\psi_{n-1}-\psi_{n-1}(D)$. From this it is immediate that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). □

Remark 1. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that if $D\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $r=r(D)$, then $(\operatorname{ad}D)^{p^r-1}$ maps $\mathcal{L}_D^0$ onto $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(D)=\langle D,[p]\rangle=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\Bbbk D^{p^i}$ and the derivations $D,D^p,\dots,D^{p^{n-1}}$ are linearly independent.

3.4. Our next goal is to give a more explicit characterisation of the elements in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $D\in\mathcal{L}$ and let $r=r(D)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *D is a regular element of $\mathcal{L}$.*

(ii) $\operatorname{Ker}D=\Bbbk 1$ *is 1-dimensional.*

(iii) *There exist $z_{r+1},\dots,z_n\in\{\epsilon_i+x_i\mid r+1\le i\le n\}$ for some $\epsilon_i\in\{0,1\}$ and $\sigma\in G$ such that $\sigma(D_s)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-r}\lambda_i(z_i\partial_i)$ for some $\lambda_i\in\Bbbk$, the torus $\sigma(\mathfrak{t}_D)$ is spanned by $z_{r+1}\partial_{r+1},\dots,z_n\partial_n$, and $\sigma(D_n)=\partial_1+x_1^{p-1}\partial_2+\dots+x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_{r-1}^{p-1}\partial_r$.*

(iv) *All Jordan blocks of D_n have size p^r.*

Proof. (a) Suppose $D\in\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. We wish to prove that $\operatorname{Ker}D=\Bbbk 1$. So suppose the contrary. Then $\operatorname{Ker}D$ has dimension ≥ 2 ad hence the subspace $\mathfrak{m}\cap\operatorname{Ker}D$ contains a nonzero element, f say. It is straightforward to see that if $f\in\mathfrak{m}\setminus\mathfrak{m}^2$ then $f^2\neq 0$ (here we use our assumption on p). Therefore, no generality will be lost by assuming that $f\in\mathfrak{m}^2$. Since $fD\in\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(D)$ and $(fD)^p=f^pD^p=0$, it follows from Remark 1 that $fD=\lambda D_n^{p^r-1}$ for some $\lambda\in\Bbbk$.

Suppose $D\notin\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$. The $fD\neq 0$ and after rescaling f (if need be) we may assume that $fD=D_n^{p^r-1}$. This yields $D_n^{p^r-1}\in\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. As the restriction of $\operatorname{ad}D$ to $\mathcal{L}_D^0$

coincides with that of $\operatorname{ad} D_n$, it follows from Remark 1 that $(\operatorname{ad} D_n)^{p^r-1}(y) = D$ for some $y \in \mathcal{L}_D^0$. Since $p-1 \geq 2$, this yields

$$D = \big(\operatorname{ad} D_n^{p^{r-1}}\big)^{p-1}\big((\operatorname{ad} D_n)^{p^{r-1}-1}(y)\big) \in [\mathcal{L}_{(1)}, [\mathcal{L}_{(1)}, \mathcal{L}]] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{(1)}. \tag{5}$$

This contradiction shows that the present case cannot occur.

Suppose $D \in \mathcal{L}_{(0)}$. Quite surprisingly, this case is more complicated, but the good news is that due to [16, Theorem 7.5.1] we now may assume that $\mathfrak{t}_D \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_n$. Recall that $\{x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_n\partial_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{t}_n$ contained in $\mathfrak{t}_n^{\mathrm{tor}}$. Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ be the corresponding dual basis in $\mathfrak{t}_n^*$, so that $\varepsilon_i\big((x_j\partial_j)\big) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and denote by ν_i the restriction of ε_i to $\mathfrak{t}_D$. Let ad_{-1} denote the representation of $\mathcal{L}_0$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{L}_{-1})$ induced by the adjoint action of $\mathcal{L}_0$ to $\mathcal{L}_{-1}$. Then $\Lambda := \{-\nu_1, \dots, -\nu_n\}$ coincides with the set of weights of $\mathfrak{t}_D$ on $\mathcal{L}_{-1}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$ and Λ spans the dual space $\mathfrak{t}_D^*$, it must be that $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) \geq n - r$.

For $\nu \in \Lambda$ we set $m(\nu) := \dim \mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\nu}$. Then $m(\nu) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{\nu\in\Lambda} m(\nu) = n$. Put $m := \max_{\nu\in\Lambda} m(\nu)$ and pick $\nu' \in \Lambda$ such that $m(\nu') = m$. Then

$$m = n - \textstyle\sum_{\nu\in\Lambda\setminus\{\nu'\}} m(\nu) \leq n - \operatorname{Card}\big(\Lambda \setminus \{\nu'\}\big) \leq n - (n-r-1) = r+1. \tag{6}$$

Write $D_n = \sum_{i\geq 0} D_{n,i}$ where $D_{n,i} \in \mathcal{L}_i$. Since $\mathfrak{t}_D \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_n$ we have that $D_{n,i} \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{t}_D)$ for all i. In particular, this means that $\operatorname{ad}_{-1}(D_{n,0})$ preserves each weight space $\mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\nu}$. Since D_n is nilpotent, this yields $\big(\operatorname{ad}_{-1}(D_{n,0})\big)^m = 0$. As $\mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ is a restricted ideal of $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$, it follows from Jacobson's formula that $D_n^{p^k} - D_{n,0}^{p^k} \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Suppose $r \geq 2$. Since $p \geq 3$, easy induction or r shows that $p^{r-1} \geq r+1$. In view of (6) this implies that $\operatorname{ad}_{-1}\big(D_{n,0}^{p^{r-1}}\big) = 0$. But $D_{n,0}^{p^{r-1}} = 0$ because ad_{-1} is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{L}_0$. Due to our earlier remarks, this forces $D_n^{p^{r-1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. So we can again apply (5) to conclude that $D \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. In particular, D is nilpotent. As $D \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, this contradicts [10, Theorem 2].

Now suppose $r = 1$. Then $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) \in \{n-1, n\}$. If $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) = n$ then the equality $\sum_{\nu\in\Lambda} m(\nu) = n$ forces $m = 1$. So $\operatorname{ad}_{-1}(D_{n,0}) = 0$ which again yields $D_n^{p^{r-1}} = D_n \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. So we can reach a contradiction by arguing as before.

If $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) = n-1$ then the above reasoning shows that $m = 2$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n-1$, we may assume without loss that $\mathfrak{t}_D$ is spanned by elements

$$t_i = x_i\partial_i + c_i(x_n\partial_n) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ (see the proof of Lemma 1 for more detail). In this case $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1}$ are linearly independent and $\nu_n = c_1\nu_1 + \dots + c_{n-1}\nu_{n-1}$. As $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) = n-1$, it must be that $\nu_n = \nu_k$ for some $k \leq n-1$, so that $c_k = 1$ and $c_i = 0$ for $i \neq k$. Since $D_{n,0} \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(\mathfrak{t}_D)$, replacing D by $\sigma(D)$ for a suitable $\sigma \in G_0 \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\Bbbk)$ we may assume further that $k = n-1$ and $D_{n,0} = \lambda(x_{n-1}\partial_n)$ where $\lambda \in \Bbbk$. Also,

$$D_s = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i(x_i\partial_i) + \alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1}\partial_{n-1} + x_n\partial_n)$$

for some $\alpha_i \in \Bbbk^\times$. As a consequence, $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{t}_D) \subset \mathcal{L}_{(0)}$.

If $\lambda = 0$ then $D_n \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ and we can apply (5) with $r = 1$ to conclude that $D \in \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. As $D \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, this contradicts [10, Theorem 2]. If $\lambda \neq 0$ then $D_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_D^0 \cap \mathcal{L}_0$ is spanned by $x_i\partial_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $x_i\partial_j$ with $i, j \in \{n-1, n\}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{L}_D^0 = np$ by Corollary 1 and $\mathcal{L}_D^0 = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{t}_D) \subset \mathcal{L}_{(0)}$ by our earlier remarks, $\mathcal{L}_D^0 \cap \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ is a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{L}_D^0$. Since D_n is a nilpotent element of $\mathcal{L}_D^0$, we then

have $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(D) \cap \mathcal{L}_{(1)} \neq \{0\}$. On the other hand, Remark 1 shows that any nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{L}}(D)$ is a scalar multiple of D_n (it is important here that $r = 1$). Since $D_n \notin \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$, we reach a contradiction thereby showing that the present case cannot occur.

Finally, suppose $r = 0$. Then $D_n = 0$ and $\mathfrak{t}_D = \mathfrak{t}_n$ which entails that $\operatorname{Ker} D$ coincides with $\Bbbk 1$, the zero weight space of $\mathfrak{t}_n$ in $\mathcal{O}_n$. We thus conclude that (i) implies (ii).

(b) Next suppose $\operatorname{Ker} D = \Bbbk 1$ and let $B = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_n \mid t(f) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathfrak{t}_D\}$, the zero weight space of $\mathfrak{t}_D$ in $\mathcal{O}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_B = B \cap \mathfrak{m}$, the maximal ideal of the local ring B. The restriction of D to B is a nilpotent derivation of B. Since the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_B$ is not D-stable by our assumption on D, the algebra B is differentiably simple. Since $\dim B = p^r$ by Lemma 1, Block's theorem yields that $B \cong \mathcal{O}_r$ as $\Bbbk$-algebras; see [1, Theorem 4.1]. Since $D_{|B} \in \operatorname{Der}(B)$ is nilpotent and $\operatorname{Ker} D_{|B} = \Bbbk 1$, it follows from [10, Theorem 2] that there exist $y_1, \dots, y_r \in \mathfrak{m}_B$ whose cosets in $\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$ are linearly independent such that

$$D_{|B} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_1^{p-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} + \cdots + y_1^{p-1}\cdots y_{r-1}^{p-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_r}.$$

We claim that the partial derivatives $\partial_i/\partial y_i \in \operatorname{Der}(B)$ can be extended to derivations of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Indeed, [10, Lemma 3] implies that $\operatorname{Der}(B)$ is a free B-module with basis $D_{|B}, \dots, D_{|B}^{p^{r-1}}$. As consequence, there exists a subset $\{b_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq r-1\} \subset B$ such that

$$\partial_i/\partial y_i = \left(\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} b_{ij} D_n^{p^j}\right)_{|B} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq r).$$

The claim follows. Since each derivation $\partial_i/\partial y_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ maps $B \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ to $\mathfrak{m}_B = \mathfrak{m} \cap B$, we now deduce that $\mathfrak{m}_B^2 = B \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$. As a consequence, $\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$ embeds into $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

It is immediate from the above discussion that there are $y'_{r+1}, \dots, y'_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that the cosets of $y_1, \dots, y_r, y'_{r+1}, \dots, y'_n$ in $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ are linearly independent. Since $\mathfrak{t}_D$ acts semisimply on $\mathcal{O}_n/\Bbbk 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$, we may assume further that there exist $\gamma_{r+1}, \dots, \gamma_n \in (\mathfrak{t}_D^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ such that $y'_i + \Bbbk 1 \in (\mathcal{O}_n/\Bbbk 1)^{\gamma_i}$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_n^0$, the weights $\gamma_{r+1}, \dots, \gamma_n$ must form a basis of the dual space $\mathfrak{t}_D^*$.

By construction, $t(y'_i) = \gamma_i(t)y'_i + \gamma'_i(t)1$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}_D$, where γ'_i is a linear function on $\mathfrak{t}_D$. If γ'_i is not proportional to γ_i then there exists $t_i \in \mathfrak{t}_D$ with $\gamma_i(t_i) = 0$ and $\gamma'_0(t_i) = 1$. But then $t_i(y'_i) = 1$ and $t_i^p(y'_i) = 0$ contradicting the inclusion $t_i \in \langle t_i, [p]\rangle$. Hence for each $i \geq r+1$ there is $\epsilon_i \in \Bbbk$ such that $t(y'_i + \epsilon_i) = \gamma_i(t)(y'_i + \epsilon_i)$. Rescaling the y'_i's if need be we may assume that $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$. For $r+1 \leq i \leq n$ we now set $y_i := y'_i + \epsilon_i$.

By our choice of $y_1, \dots, y_n$ there is a unique automorphism σ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(x_i) = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\sigma^{-1}(x_i) = y_i - \epsilon_i$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq n$. In view of our earlier remarks we have that $\sigma(D_n) = \partial_1 + x_1^{p-1}\partial_2 + \cdots + x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_{r-1}^{p-1}\partial_r$ and $\sigma(D_s) = \sum_{i=r+1}^n \lambda_i(\epsilon_i + x_i)\partial_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in \Bbbk$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{t}_D = n - r$ and all elements $(\epsilon_i + x_i)\partial_i$ are toral, it is straightforward to see that $\sigma(\mathfrak{t}_D)$ is spanned by $(\epsilon_{r+1} + x_{r+1})\partial_{r+1}, \dots, (\epsilon_n + x_n)\partial_n$. This shows that (ii) implies (iii).

(c) Suppose (iii) holds for D and adopt the notation introduced in part (b). As before, we identify the elements $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ with their images in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\gamma = \sum_{i=r+1}^n a_i\gamma_i$ be an arbitrary element of $(\mathfrak{t}_D^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$, so that $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the weight space $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$ is a free B-module of rank 1 generated by

$y^\gamma := y_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdots y_n^{a_n}$. It follows from [10, Lemma 7(iv)] that $(D_{|B})^{p^r-1} \neq 0$. Since $D_n(y^\gamma) = 0$, we now see that D_n acts on each $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$ as a Jordan block of size p^r. This shows that (iii) implies (iv).

Finally, if (iv) holds for D then Lemma 1 yields that D_n acts on the zero weight space $\mathcal{O}_n^0$ for $\mathfrak{t}_D$ as a single Jordan block of size p^r. Since $\operatorname{Ker} D \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n^0$, this forces $\operatorname{Ker} D = \Bbbk 1$. So (iv) implies (ii). Since we have already established that (i) and (ii) are equivalent, our proof of Theorem 2 is complete. □

Remark 2. The proof of Theorem 2 also shows that $D \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_n^\lambda$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_n^0$-module of rank 1 and $\dim(\mathcal{O}_n^\lambda \cap \operatorname{Ker} D_n) = 1$ for any $\mathfrak{t}_D$-weight $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_n)$. On the other hand, we know from linear algebra that $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{O}_n)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of x coincides with the characteristic polynomial of x. From this it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}} \;=\; \mathcal{L} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{O}_n)_{\mathrm{reg}}.$$

4. Dickson invariants and the fibres of ψ

4.1. It follows from [10, Theorem 3] that the morphism ψ which sends any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ to $\big(\psi_0(x), \dots, \psi_{n-1}(x)\big) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ is flat and surjective. Moreover, for any $\eta = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ the fibre $P_\eta = \psi^{-1}(\eta)$ is an irreducible complete intersection whose defining ideal in $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ is generated by $\psi_0 - \eta_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} - \eta_{n-1}$. Similar to the classical case (investigated by Kostant in [4]) each fibre P_η contains a unique open G-orbit, P_η°, but unlike [4] the complement $P_\eta \setminus P_\eta^\circ$ has codimension 1 in P_η for any $\eta \in \mathbb{A}^n$. To be more precise, there is a semiinvariant $\Delta \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ for G corresponding to a nontrivial character $\chi\colon G \to \Bbbk^\times$ such that $P_\eta \setminus P_\eta^\circ$ coincides with the zero locus of Δ in P_η; see [10, Lemmas 12 and 15] for detail.

In [10], the semiinvariant Δ is constructed as follows: if $x^{\mathbf{p-1}} := x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}$, the monomial of top degree in $\mathcal{O}_n$, then

$$\Delta(D) := \big(D^{p^n-1}(x^{\mathbf{p-1}})\big)(0) \qquad (\forall\, D \in \mathcal{L})$$

where the notation $f(0)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is explained in Subsection 2.1. It is straightforward to see that $\Delta(g(D)) = \chi(g)\Delta(D)$ for any $g \in G$, where $\chi = \chi_0^{p-1}$ and χ_0 is the rational character of G which takes value λ^{-n} on the automorphism $x_i \mapsto \lambda x_i$, $1 \le i \le n$, of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Our goal in this subsection is to express Δ and the ψ_i's in a more traditional way inspired by the classical work of Dickson [2].

The nth wedge product $\wedge^n\mathcal{L}$ carries a natural G-module structure and the action of the torus $\lambda(\Bbbk^\times) \subset G$ introduced in Subsection 2.1 turns it into a graded vector space:

$$\wedge^n\mathcal{L} = \textstyle\bigoplus_{i \ge -n}(\wedge^n\mathcal{L})_i, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{-n} = \wedge^n(\mathcal{L}_{-1}) = \Bbbk(\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \partial_n).$$

Since the p-mapping $\pi\colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$, $D \mapsto D^p$, is a morphism given by a collection of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree p of $\mathcal{L}$, there is a homogeneous polynomial function $\Delta_0 \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ of degree $1 + p + \cdots + p^{n-1} = (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ such that

$$D \wedge D^p \cdots \wedge D^{p^{n-1}} \;\in\; \Delta_0(D)(\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n) + \textstyle\bigoplus_{i > -n}(\wedge^n\mathcal{L})_i \qquad (\forall\, D \in \mathcal{L}).$$

Since the standard maximal subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$ is G-stable, so is $\bigoplus_{i>-n}(\wedge^n\mathcal{L})_i$, a subspace of codimension 1 in $\wedge^n\mathcal{L}$. Since $\operatorname{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cong \mathcal{L}_{-1}^*$ as G_0-modules, it is

now routine to check that

$$\Delta_0(g(D)) = \chi_0(g)\Delta_0(D) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{L}.$$

By the same reasoning, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a homogeneous polynomial function $\Delta_i \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ such that

$$D \wedge \cdots \wedge D^{p^n} \wedge D^{p^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge D^{p^{n-1}} \in \ \Delta_i(D)(\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n) + \textstyle\bigoplus_{i>-n}(\wedge^n \mathcal{L})_i$$

for all $D \in \mathcal{L}$. Obviously, $\deg \Delta_i = (p^n - p^{i-1}) + \deg \Delta_0$.

Proposition 1. *We have that* $\Delta_0^{p-1} = (-1)^n \Delta$ *and* $\psi_{i-1} = -\frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta_0}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ we set

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda := (1 + \lambda_1 x_1^{p-1})\partial_1 + x_1^{p-1}(1 + \lambda_2 x_2^{p-1})\partial_2 + \cdots + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1}(1 + \lambda_n x_n^{p-1})\partial_n$$

and define $\mathcal{Y} := \{\mathcal{D}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^n\}$. It is proved in [10, § 3] that the G-saturation of $\mathcal{Y}$ coincides with the principal Zariski open subset $\{D \in \mathcal{L} \mid \Delta(D) \neq 0\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. It is also immediate from the discussion in *loc. cit.* that

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{p^i} - (-1)^i \partial_i \ \in \ \Bbbk\partial_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Bbbk\partial_{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{p-1} \cdot \mathcal{L} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq n-1)$$

and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{p^n-1}(x^{\mathbf{p-1}}) - (-1)^n \in \mathfrak{m}^{p-1} \cdot \mathcal{L}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^n$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda \wedge \mathcal{D}_\lambda^p \cdots \wedge \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{p^{n-1}} \ \in \ (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}(\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n) + \textstyle\bigoplus_{i>-n}(\wedge^n \mathcal{L})_i$$

and $\Delta(\mathcal{D}_\lambda) = (-1)^n$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^n$. As a consequence, $\Delta_0^{p-1}(y) = (-1)^n \Delta(y) = 1$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since both Δ_0^{p-1} and $(-1)^n\Delta$ are semiinvariants for G associated with the same character χ, this yields that Δ_0^{p-1} and $(-1)^n\Delta$ agree on $G \cdot \mathcal{Y}$. Since the latter is Zariski open in $\mathcal{L}$ we now obtain that $\Delta_0^{p-1} = (-1)^n\Delta$.

Since $D^{p^n} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i(D) D^{p^i}$, the definition of Δ_i in conjunction with standard properties of wedge products yields that $\Delta_i(D) = -\psi_i(D)\Delta_0(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{L}$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathfrak{t}_0$ denote the $\Bbbk$-span of all $(1+x_i)\partial_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. It is well known that the G-saturation of $\mathfrak{t}_0$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{L}$. By [10], the nomaliser $N_G(\mathfrak{t}_0)$ acts faithfully on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-space $(\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathrm{tor}})^*$ and is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Furthermore, the natural restriction map $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}] \to \Bbbk[\mathfrak{t}_0]$ induces an isomorphism of invariant rings $j\colon \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} S(\mathfrak{t}_0^*)^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}$.

For the reader's convenience we recall the original definition of Dickson invariants. Given $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$ put

$$M_0(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) := \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \cdots & \xi_n \\ \xi_1^p & \xi_2^p & \cdots & \xi_n^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \xi_1^{p^{n-1}} & \xi_2^{p^{n-1}} & \cdots & \xi_n^{p^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and let $M_i(\xi_i, \ldots, \xi_n)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by replacing the ith row of $M_0(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ by $\big(\xi_1^{p^n}, \ldots, \xi_n^{p^n}\big)$. For each $0 \leq i \leq n$ define $\varphi_i \in \Bbbk[\mathfrak{t}_0]$ by setting

$$\varphi_i(D) = \det M_i(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \qquad \big(\forall\, D = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(1 + x_i)\partial_i \in \mathfrak{t}_0\big).$$

Thanks to [2, p. 76] we know that $\bar{\psi}_{i-1} := -\frac{\varphi_i}{\varphi_0} \in S(\mathfrak{t}_0^*)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and

$$S(\mathfrak{t}_0^*)^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)} = \Bbbk[\bar{\psi}_0, \dots, \bar{\psi}_{n-1}].$$

Since each $(1+x_i)\partial_i$ is a toral element of $\mathfrak{t}_0$, we have that

$$D^{p^k} = \big(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(1+x_i)\partial_i\big)^{p^k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{p^k}(1+x_i)\partial_i, \qquad k \geq 0.$$

This shows that $\Delta_i(D) = \det M_i(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ for all i. As a result, the restriction of Δ_0 to $\mathfrak{t}_0$ identifies with φ_0, the Dickson semiinvariant for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. In view of Proposition 1 this means that each $\bar{\psi}_i$ can be obtained by restricting ψ_i to $\mathfrak{t}_0$.

Remark 3. We mention for completeness that Δ_0 is irreducible in $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$. Indeed, if this is not the case then $n \geq 2$ and $\Delta_0 = gf$ for some G-semiinvariants $f, g \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ of positive degree (this is because the connected group G must preserve the line spanned by each prime divisor of Δ_0). Let $\bar{f}$ denote the restriction of f to $\mathfrak{t}_0$, a nonzero homogeneous semiinvariant for $N_G(\mathfrak{t}_0) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As $p > 2$, the derived subgroup of $N_G(\mathfrak{t}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. But then $\bar{f} \in \Bbbk[\mathfrak{t}_0]^{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}$. Since $\deg \bar{f} = \deg f < (p^n-1)/(p-1)$, this contradicts [15, Theorem C]. So Δ_0 is irreducible in $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]$ as claimed.

Remark 4. The varieties $\{x \in P_\eta \mid \Delta_0(x) = 0\}$ may be reducible fore some $\eta \in \mathbb{A}^n$. In fact, this happens already when $n = 1$. In this case Δ_0 is a linear function on $\mathcal{L}$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$. Applying Theorem 1 one observes that the fibre $P_{-1} = \psi_0^{-1}(-1)$ is a smooth hypersurface in $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of all *nonzero* toral elements of $\mathcal{L}$. Then P_{-1}° is the G-orbit of $(1+x_1)\partial_1$ and the zero locus of Δ_0 in P_{-1} coincides with $P_{-1} \setminus P_{-1}^\circ = \mathbb{F}_p^\times(x_1\partial_1) + \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ which has $p-1$ irreducible components. Each irreducible component is a Zariski closed G-orbit in $\mathcal{L}$.

Remark 5. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ operates on $\mathcal{L}^*$ via the coadjoint representation and this gives rise to the action of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}] \cong S(\mathcal{L}^*)$ as derivations. Since all derivations annihilate pth powers, the subring $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{(p)} := \{f^p \mid f \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]\}$ lies in the invariant algebra $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{\mathcal{L}}$. Although this is not directly related to the present work, we mention that $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} \in \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{\mathcal{L}} = \Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{(p)}[\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}]$. Moreover, $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a free module of rank p^n over $\Bbbk[\mathcal{L}]^{(p)}$. This is proved by Skryabin; see [13, Corollary 5.6].

4.2. Let $\mathrm{Sing}(P_\eta)$ denote the subvariety of all singular points of P_η. By [10, Theorem 2], the special fibre $P_{\mathbf{0}}$ of ψ coincides with the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(P_{\mathbf{0}}) = P_{\mathbf{0}} \setminus P_{\mathbf{0}}^\circ$ coincides with the zero locus of Δ in $P_{\mathbf{0}}$. As the latter has codimension 1 in $P_{\mathbf{0}}$, the variety $P_{\mathbf{0}}$ is not normal. This is, of course, in sharp contrast with a well known result of Kostant [4] (valid for all reductive Lie algebras over fields of characteristic 0). Our final result in this paper shows that fibre of ψ is normal if and only if it is smooth.

Theorem 3. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{A}^n$. The the following hold:*

(i) *The fibre P_η is smooth if and only if it consists of regular semisimple elements of $\mathcal{L}$. The latter happens if and only if $\psi_0(D) \neq 0$ for all $D \in P_\eta$.*

(ii) *The fibre P_η is normal if and only if it is smooth.*

Proof. (a) Let $Z = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid \psi_0(x) = 0\}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that P_η is a smooth variety if and only if $P_\eta \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, whilst [10, Theorem 3(iv)] implies that the

inclusion $P_\eta \subset \mathcal{L}_{\rm reg}$ takes place if and only $P_\eta \cap \mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_{\rm reg}$. On the other hand, Theorem 2 in conjunction with Lemma 2 shows that $P_\eta \cap \mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_{\rm reg}$ if and only if $\psi_0(D) \neq 0$ for all $D \in P_\eta \cap \mathfrak{t}_n$. Since the set $P_\eta \cap Z$ is Zariski closed and G-stable, the latter occurs if and only if $P_\eta \cap Z = \emptyset$. Statement (ii) follows.

(b) If $\eta_0 \neq 0$ then P_η is smooth and hence normal; see [12, Ch. 2, § 5, Theorem 1]. So suppose from now that $\eta_0 = 0$. The we may also assume without loss that $n \geq 2$. Given $D \in \mathcal{L}$ we let I_D stand for the unique maximal D-invariant ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. It is immediate from Block's theorem [1] that $\mathcal{L}/I_D \cong \mathcal{O}_k$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. By [14, Lemma 1.1(i)], each I_D is G-conjugate to one of the ideals $I_k := \langle x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

Following [14] we let $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ denote the set of all $D = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i$ such that $f_n \in I_{n-1} = x_n \mathcal{O}_n$ and

$$f_i = x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{p-1} + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \cdot g_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

where g_i lies in the *subalgebra* of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by x_n. Note that $I_D = I_{n-1}$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$.

Given an endomorphism x of a finite dimensional vector space V over $\Bbbk$ we write $\chi_x(t)$ and $m_x(t)$ for the characteristic and minimal polynomial of x, respectively. Pick any $D = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ with the f_i's as above and let $\pi \colon \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{L}}(I_{n-1}) \to \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_n/I_{n-1})$ be the canonical homomorphism. As explained in the proof of [14, Lemma 2.2], $\operatorname{Ker} \pi = I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$ and identifying $\mathcal{O}_n/I_{n-1}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}$ we get

$$\pi(D) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{i-1}^{p-1} \partial_i + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_i(0) \partial_i.$$

We now let $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ consisting of all $D = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i$ for which $g_i(0) = (-1)^{n-i-1} \eta_i^{1/p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $D^{p^{n-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta_i^{1/p} D^{p^{i-1}} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$. If $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ then [14, Lemma 1.4] shows that

$$\chi_{\pi(D)}(t) = m_{\pi(D)}(t) = t^{p^{n-1}} - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i} g_i(0) \cdot t^{p^{i-1}} = t^{p^{n-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta_i^{1/p} t^{p^{i-1}}$$

forcing $\chi_{\pi(D)}(t)^p = t^{p^n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_i D^{p^i}$ (here we use our assumption that $\eta_0 = 0$). Since $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ we also have that $\chi_{\pi(D)}(D) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$. This implies that $m_D(t)$ divides $\chi_{\pi(D)}(t)^{p^l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $m_D(t)$ and $\chi_D(t)$ have the same set of roots, this yields that any root of $\chi_D(t)$ is a root of $\chi_{\pi(D)}(t)$. On the other hand, $\chi_D(\pi(D)) = \pi(\chi_D(D)) = 0$ because π is a homomorphism of restricted Lie algebras. As $\chi_{\pi(D)}(t) = m_{\pi(D)}(t)$, we deduce that $\chi_D(t) - \chi_{\pi(D)}(t)^p = \alpha \chi_{\pi(D)}(t)$ for some $\alpha \in \Bbbk$, that is,

$$\chi_D(t) = \chi_{\pi(D)}(t) \cdot (\chi_D(t)^{p-1} + \alpha).$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\chi_{\pi(D)}(\beta) \neq 0$ for some root β of $\chi_D(t)$. Since this possibility is ruled out by our preceding remark, it must be that $\chi_D(t) = \chi_{\pi(D)}(t)^p = t^{p^n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_i t^{p^i}$. So $D \in P_\eta$, forcing $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta) \subset P_\eta$.

(c) We claim that $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ is a Zariski closed subset of $\mathcal{L}$ isomorphic to an affine space of dimension $(p-1)(n-1) + (p^n - p^{n-1} - 1)$. In order see this we first define

$$D_\eta := \partial_1 + x_1^{p-1} \partial_2 + \cdots + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-2}^{p-1} \partial_{n-1} + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i-1} \eta_i^{1/p} x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} \partial_i,$$

put $\bar{\chi}(t) := t^{p^{n-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta_i^{1/p} t^{p^{i-1}}$, and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ and let the g_i's with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ be as in part (b). Write I'_{n-1} for the linear span of all monomials $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq p-1$ such that $a_n \geq 1$ and

$(a_1 \dots, a_n) \neq (p-1, \dots, p-1, 1)$. Then $f_n = cx_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} x_n + f_n'$ for some $c \in \Bbbk$ and some $f_n' \in I_{n-1}'$. Since $g_i(0) = (-1)^{n-i-1}\eta_i^{1/p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have that

$$D = D_\eta + D_1 + x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} D_2$$

where $D_1 = f_n' \partial_n$ and $D_2 = c(x_n \partial_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (g_i - g_i(0))\partial_i$. It should be stressed here that D_η is independent of the choice of $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ and $\bar{\chi}(D_\eta) = 0$ by [14, Lemma 1.4]. Since $I_{n-1}\partial_n$ is a restricted Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ normalised by D_η, Jacobson's formula entails that $\bar{\chi}(D_\eta + D_1) \in I_{n-1}\partial_n$.

Let J denote the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. Using Jacobson's formula and induction on k one observes that

$$D^{p^k} \equiv (D_\eta + D_1)^{p^k} + \big(\operatorname{ad}(D_\eta + D_1)^{p^k-1}\big)(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} D_2) \ \big(\operatorname{mod} J^{(n-k-1)(p-1)+1}\mathcal{L}\big)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.2 in [14] for a similar argument). In view of [14, Lemma 1.3] this yields

$$\bar{\chi}(D) \equiv \bar{\chi}(D_\eta + D_1) + (-1)^{n-1} D_2 \ \big(\operatorname{mod} J\mathcal{L}\big).$$

Since $\bar{\chi}(D) \in \operatorname{Ker}\pi = I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$ by part (b) and both $\bar{\chi}(D_\eta + D_1)$ and D_2 are in $I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$ by our earlier remarks, we have that

$$\bar{\chi}(D) - \bar{\chi}(D_\eta + D_1) - (-1)^{n-1} D_2 \in J\mathcal{L} \cap I_{n-1}\mathcal{L} \subset I_{n-1}\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{L}.$$

On the other hand, $I_{n-1}\mathcal{L} = \Bbbk(x_n\partial_n) \oplus R$ where $R := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Bbbk(x_n\partial_i) \oplus I_{n-1}\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{L}$ is an ideal of codimension 1 in the Lie algebra $I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$. Since $I_{n-1}\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}_{(1)}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Bbbk(x_n\partial_i)$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of nilpotent elements, Jacobson's formula shows that $R \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$ coincides with the nilradical of $I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$.

Let $\phi\colon I_{n-1}\mathcal{L} \to \Bbbk(x_n\partial_n) \cong (I_{n-1}\mathcal{L})/R$ be the canonical homomorphism and denote by V the linear span of I_{n-1}' and all $x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} x_n^i \partial_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta) \subset D_\eta + V + \Bbbk(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} x_n \partial_n)$$

and $\dim V = (p^n - p^{n-1} - 1) + (n-1)(p-1)$. As $\bar{\chi}(D)^p = 0$ by part (b), it must be that $(\phi \circ \bar{\chi})(D) = 0$. As $D_2 = c(x_n\partial_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(g_i - g_i(0))\partial_i$ and $\bar{\chi}(D_\eta + D_1)$ both lie in $\Bbbk(x_n\partial_n) + R$, the above implies that

$$(\phi \circ \bar{\chi})(D_\eta + D_1) = (-1)^n c(x_n\partial_n) \qquad \big(\forall\, D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)\big).$$

Let $\widetilde{V}$ be the set of all elements of the form $D_{v,t} := D_\eta + v + t(x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p-1} x_n \partial_n)$ with $v \in V$ and $t \in \Bbbk$. Then $\widetilde{V} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ and part (b) yields $\bar{\chi}(\widetilde{V}) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\pi$. Obviously, $\widetilde{V}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}$ isomorphic to $V \oplus \Bbbk$. Because we can repeat the above argument with $D = D_{v,t} \in \widetilde{V}$ instead of $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$, we have that

$$(\phi \circ \bar{\chi})(D_{v,t}) = (-1)^n t(x_n\partial_n) \qquad \big(\forall\, D_{v,t} \in \widetilde{V}\big).$$

This implies that there exists a regular function $F \in \Bbbk[V]$ with the property that $\bar{\chi}(D_{v,t}) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $t = F(v)$. From this it is immediate that the canonical projection $\widetilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta) \subset \widetilde{V}$ isomorphically onto V. The claim follows.

(d) By part (c), $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$ is an irreducible Zariski closed subset of $\mathcal{L}$ and

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta) = (p-1)(n-1) + (p^n - p^{n-1} - 1). \tag{7}$$

Let G_{n-1} be the subgroup of G consisting of all automorphisms σ fixing x_n and preserving the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\dim G_{n-1} = (n-1)(p^n - p). \tag{8}$$

By [14, Proposition 2.3], for any $D \in \mathcal{L}$ with $I_D = I_{n-1}$ there exists a unique $\sigma \in G_{n-1}$ such that $\sigma(D) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$. Set $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta) := G_{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta)$. Due to (7) and (8) we have

$$\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta) = \dim G_{n-1} + \dim \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\eta) = np^n - p^{n-1} - n. \tag{9}$$

Let X denote the G-saturation of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta)$. The morphism $G \times_{N_G(I_{n-1})} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta) \to X$ sending any $(g, D) \in G \times_{N_G(I_{n-1})} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta)$ to $g(D)$ is obviously surjective. If $g(D) = g'(D')$ for some $g, g' \in G$ and some $D, D' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta)$ then $g^{-1}g'(I_{n-1}) = I_{g^{-1}g'(D')} = I_D = I_{n-1}$ forcing $g' \in gN_G(I_{n-1})$. Therefore, the morphism is bijective, so that

$$\dim X = \dim G - \dim N_G(I_{n-1}) + \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{n-1}(\eta).$$

In view of (9) and the equality $\dim N_G(I_{n-1}) = (n-1)(p^n-1) + p^n - p^{n-1}$ this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &= n(p^n-1) - (n-1)(p^n-1) - (p^n - p^{n-1}) + np^n - p^{n-1} - n \\ &= np^n - n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

(e) We claim that $X \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}} = \emptyset$. Indeed, suppose the contrary. Since X is G-stable, Theorem 2 says that X contains a regular element of the form

$$D = \partial_1 + x_1^{p-1}\partial_2 + \dots + x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_{r-1}^{p-1}\partial_r + \textstyle\sum_{i=r+1}^{n} \mu_i(x_i + \epsilon_i)\partial_i, \qquad 0 \le r \le n,$$

where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\mu_i \in \Bbbk$, such that $D_s = \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} \mu_i(\epsilon_i + x_i)\partial_i$ generates an $(n-r)$-dimensional torus in $\mathcal{L}$. If $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all $i > r$ then one checks directly that $D^{p^n-1}(x^{\mathbf{p-1}}) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ forcing $I_D = \{0\}$. If $\epsilon_l = \epsilon_m = 0$ for some $l \neq m$ then I_D contains $x_l\mathcal{O}_n + x_n\mathcal{O}_n$, which is impossible because I_D must be G-conjugate to I_{n-1}. Therefore, no generality will be lost by assuming that $I_D = I_{n-1}$, so that $\epsilon_n = 0$ and $\epsilon_i = 1$ for $r < i < n$. Our discussion in part (d) then shows that $D \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(\eta)$. Hence there exists a unique $g \in G_{n-1}$ such that $g(D) \in \mathcal{D}(\eta)$, so that $g(\bar{\chi}(D)) = \bar{\chi}(g(D)) \in \operatorname{Ker}\pi = I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$ by part (c). As $g^{-1}(I_{n-1}) = I_{n-1}$, this yields $\bar{\chi}(D) \in I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$.

Let $D' = \partial_1 + x_1^{p-1}\partial_2 + \dots + x_1^{p-1}\cdots x_{r-1}^{p-1}\partial_r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{n-1} \mu_i(1 + x_i)\partial_i$. Then $D = D' + \mu_n(x_n\partial_n)$ and $[x_n\partial_n, D'] = 0$. Since $(x_n\partial_n)^p = x_n\partial_n$, it follows that $\bar{\chi}(D) = \bar{\chi}(D') + \bar{\chi}(\mu_n)(x_n\partial_n)$ implying $\bar{\chi}(D') \in I_{n-1}\mathcal{L}$. Since $D'(x_i) \in \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $D'(x_n) = 0$, this forces $\bar{\chi}(D') = 0$. It follows that $\bar{\chi}(D) = \bar{\chi}(\mu_n)(x_n\partial_n)$. As $x_n\partial_n$ is semisimple and $\bar{\chi}(D) = g^{-1}(\bar{\chi}(g(D)))$ is nilpotent (by the definition of $\mathcal{D}(\eta)$) we now deduce that $\bar{\chi}(D) = 0$. But then $m_D(t)$ has degree $< p^n$ contrary to Remark 2. This contradiction proves the claim.

(f) Part (e) together with Theorem 1 gives $X \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(P_\eta)$. As $\dim X = (\dim P_\eta) - 1$ by part (c) we conclude that $\operatorname{Sing}(P_\eta)$ has codimension 1 in P_η. Then [12, Ch. 2, § 5, Theorem 3] shows that the variety P_η is not normal. □

Remark 6. (1) The equivalence of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2 was conjectured by Hao Chang (private communication).

(2) It would be interesting to describe the regular elements of $\mathcal{L}$ in the case where $\operatorname{char}(\Bbbk) = 2$. Indeed, this is a meeting point of the theory of restricted Lie algebras and the theory of complex Lie superalgebras: if $p = 2$ then $\mathcal{O}_n$ is isomorphic to

an exterior algebra and the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ can be obtained by reduction modulo 2 from a suitable $\mathbb{Z}$-form of the finite dimensional complex Lie superalgebra of type W_n.

(3) It would also be interesting to obtain a description of regular elements in the finite dimensional restricted Lie algebras of type S_n, H_{2n} and K_{2n+1} similar to that given in Theorem 2.

References

[1] R.E. Block, *Determination of the differentiably simple rings with a minimal ideal,* Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969) 433–459.

[2] L.E. Dickson, *A fundamental system of invariants of the general modular linear group with a solution of the form problem,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1911) 75–98.

[3] N. Jacobson, *Classes of restricted Lie algebras of characteristic p. II,* Duke Math. J. **10** (1943) no. 1 107–121.

[4] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings,* Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963) 327–404.

[6] D. Panyushev, A. Premet and O. Yakimova, *On symmetric invariants of centralisers in reductive Lie algebras,* J. Algebra **313** (2007) 343–391.

[7] A. Premet, *Cartan subalgebras of Lie p-algebras,* Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **50** no. 4 (1986) 788–800 (Russian); Math. USSR Izv. **29** no. 1 (1987) 145–157 (English translation).

[8] A. Premet, *Regular Cartan subalgebras and nilpotent elements in restricted Lie algebras,* Mat. Sbornik **180** no. 4 (1989) 542–557 (Russian); Math. USSR Sbornik **66** no. 2 (1990) 555–570 (English translation).

[10] A. Premet, *The theorem on restriction of invariants, and nilpotent elements in W_n,* Mat. Sbornik **182** no. 5 (1991) 746–773 (Russian); Math. USSR Sbornik **73** no. 1 (1992) 135–159 (English translation).

[11] A. Premet, *Nilpotent commuting varieties of reductive Lie algebras,* Invent. math. **154** (2003) 653–683.

[12] I.R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry. 1. Varieties in Projective Space,* 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[13] S. Skryabin, *Invariants of finite group schemes,* J. London Math. Soc. **65** (2002) 339–6360.

[14] S. Skryabin, *Nilpotent elements in the Jacobson–Wtt algebra over a finite field,* Transform. Groups (2014) DOI: 10.1007/S00031-014-9270-0.

[15] R. Steinberg, *On Dickson's theorem on invariants,* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **34** (1987) 699–707.

[16] H. Strade, *Simple Lie Algebras over Fields of Positive Characteristic I. Structure Theory,* Expositions in Math., Vol. 38, de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 2004.

School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, M13 9PL, UK
E-mail address: Alexander.Premet@manchester.ac.uk